This poster participates ir



Mechanisms of long-term mean sea level variability in the North Sea

<u>Sönke Dangendorf</u>¹ (soenke.dangendorf@uni-siegen.de), Francisco Calafat², Jan Even Øie Nilsen³, Kristin Richter⁴ and Jürgen Jensen¹

¹University of Siegen | Germany

Motivation With the release of the fifths assessment report (AR5) of the IPCC regional projections of mean sea level (MSL) rise have become available for the first time. However, these projections are based on the outputs of global climate models with a rather low spatial resolution of more than 100km questioning their reliability for shallow coastal areas. To assess possible future states of coastal MSL rise and variability knowledge about the underlying processes is required. Here, we investigate the temporal and spatial variability of MSL in the North Sea region since the late 19th century using a combination of tide gauge observations, altimetry, hydrographic profiles, and atmospheric reanalyis data as well as a baroclinic ocean model.

What are the causes of North Sea MSL variability and are there implications for the derivation of possible future projections?

Datasets

- □ 22 tide gauges from the PSMSL data set and *Wahl et al.* [2011]
- □ Atmospheric fields (SLP & u,v-wind) from the 20th century reanalysis
- □ Steric sea level (for the upper 200m) derived from updated temperature and salinity profiles [Ishii and Kimoto, 2009]
- □ Wind forced 3D non-Boussinesq ocean Model (MICOM, 10*10km)

Investigation area and tide gauge locations



F					
	b)				
	1) Oostende [1.97±0.13]			12) Esbjerg [0.69±0.13]	
	2) Vlissingen [1.69±0.07]			13) Hanstholm [3.01±0.52]	
	3) Hoek van Hollan [2.29±0.06]			14) Hirtshals [1.15±0.11]	
	4) IJmuiden [1.44±0.08]			15) Tregde [1.29±0.1]	
	5) Den Helder [1.17±0.06]	ling produce and the statement.		16) Stavanger [1.77±0.12]	
	6) West–Terschellin [0.6±0.14]	ng		17) Bergen [1.37±0.12]	
	7) Delfzijl [1.41±0.08]			18) Lerwick [0.98±0.23]	
	8) Norderney [1.84±0.12]			19) Wick [1.92±0.32]	
	9) Cuxhaven [1.99±0.1]			20) Aberdeen [1.67±0.05]	
	10) Helgoland [1.29±0.37]			21) North Shields [2.26±0.08]	
-	11) Hörnum [1.63±0.28]			22) Lowestoft [2.06±0.22]	
- 80	0 1850	1900	1950	 	1900 1950 2000

Time [yr] Time [yr] **Fig. 1**: *a)* Investigation area with tide gauge locations and sea surface height (SSH) anomalies from Altimetry. b) Monthly de-seasonalized time series (grey) of local MSL (GIA corrected). The thick lines represent the 12 months low pass filtered component. The different colors mark sub-regions used for the calculation of regional indices.

²National Oceanography Centre | UK

³Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Centre | Norway



Mean composites for monthly MSL and



Fig. 3: a) Explained variability of barotropic atmospheric forcing estimated with a stepwise multiple linear regression model (LRM; grey bars). The colored dots mark the contribution given by each predictor alone. Only predictors explaining a significant fraction of variability are shown (95% confidence level). b) Stdv. of the observed (black) and atmospherically corrected (red) monthly MSL time series. The dashed lines with the shaded areas mark the mean and stdv. over all stations.

Regional coherence of decadal sea level



Fig. 5: a) Average wind and pressure conditions over the period 1945-2011. b) Correlation between the low pass filtered (48 months) and atmospherically corrected North Sea index (NSI) and SSH from Altimetry, and c) the steric height for the upper 200m.



Fig. 2: Composite plot showing wind and pressure condi-

tions (right) during times of particularly high (>2*stdv)

minus particularly low (<2*stdv) monthly MSL events (left).

The plots are given for four regional indices (averages).



Fig. 4: Coherence of decadal (48 months low pass filtered) sea level (black: observed, blue: atmospherically corrected) in the North Sea (a). Also shown (b) is the steric height obtained from hydrographic observations near Sognesjoen in the Norwegian Trench (referenced down to 700m).



Forcing of decadal sea level



Fig.7: Reconstruction of decadal

MSL variability in the North Sea

based on barotropically corrected

Newlyin (NEW) and steric sea

level west of the UK. NEW is

used as a proxy for boundary

wave forcing along the conti-

nental slope of the North Atlantic

[Calafat et al., 2012].

the wards), results



8 North Sea Index [atmospherically corrected] Newlyn [IB corrected]: R² = 0.70 Newlyn [IB corrected] + steric West–UK: $R^2 = 0.79$ 1980 2000 2020 1940 1960 Time [yr]

⁴University of Innsbruck | Austria

Results: Observations

The role of atmospheric forcing on intraand inter-annual timescales

Fig. 8: Wavelet coher ence between (top) the NSI and eastern boundary longshore winds (LSW, integrated from northequator b) NEW MSL and LSW, and c) the NEW. The NSI and that suggest winds play an important role in forcing decadal sea level along the eastern boundary of the North Atlantic. However, travelling further northwards, the signal seems to be increasingly disturbed by topography.



Time [yr]

Regional coherence in MICOM

Fig. 9: Model performance Shown is a comparison be NSI from coastal tide gaug sea surface height (SSH) closest grid points in MI comparison sug-gests the reproduces the majority MSL in the North Sea (81%



Take Home Messages

- al., 2014a).
- models.
- continental slope.

Calafat F.M. et al. (2012), Mechanisms of decadal sea level variability in the eastern North Atlantic and the Mediterranean Sea, J. Geophys. Res., 117, C09022. Dangendorf, S. et al. (2014a), A new atmospheric proxy for sea level variability in the southeastern North Sea: observations and future ensemble projections, Climate Dynamics, 43, 447-467. Dangendorf, S. et al. (2014b), Mean sea level variability in the North Sea: processes and implications, J. Geophys. Res., 119, 6820-Ishii, M., & M. Kimoto (2009), Reevaluation of historical ocean heat content variations with time-varying XBT and MBT depth bias corrections, J. Oceanogr., 65, 287–299. Wahl, T. et al. (2011), Improved estimates of mean sea level changes in the German Bight over the last 166 years, Ocean

Dynamics, 61(5), 701-715.







No. B135

Results: Model

of MICOM.	4
etween the	2
es and the	
) from the $\frac{0}{10}$	
COM. The 🞽	2
at MICOM	-2
of coastal	-4
).	



Fig. 10: Correlations between the low pass filtered NSI (48 months) from MICOM and each grid point time series calculated for the a) SSH, b) ocean bottom pressure (OBP), and c) steric height. The correlation analysis suggests a coherent OBP signal extending from the west coast of Africa along the continental slope into the Arctic ocean

North Sea MSL is marked by pronounced internal climate variability acting on timescales from months to several decades.

Barotropic atmospheric forcing dominates the variability on timescales up to a decade. This variability can be accurately modelled using statistical downscaling techniques (Dangendorf et

The decadal MSL is dynamically (via boundary waves) connected to the Northeast Atlantic. An accurate description of the processes producing this variability requires high resolution (<10-20km) 3D

For the derivation of future coastal MSL projections in the North **Sea** statistical-dynamical downscaling techniques are required, which are able to reproduce the small scale processes along the

References









National **Oceanography Centre** ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL