



VIRTUAL VOLCANOES Computer simulations of volcanic eruptions

Paolo Papale & Many Colleagues

Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Italy





Plate tectonics and distribution of active volcanoes acian Eura Plate me CASCADE Aleutian Trencl RANGE San Andreas Hau "Ring of Fire" Rida Hawaiian "Hot Spot Cocos Plat East Pacific a Nazca Rise can Plate Afric late **Pacific Plate** Antarctic Plate USGS

Topinka, USGS/CVD, 1997, Modifed from: Tilling, Heliker, and Wright, 1987, and Hamilton, 1976

Distribution of earthquakes on Earth's surface



Geodynamic scheme of volcano types and location



A) Island arc volcanoes (Aleutians, Japan, Indonesia)

- **B) Hot spot** volcanoes (Hawaii)
- C) Mid-ocean ridge volcanoes (Iceland)
- D) Continental margin volcanoes (North America, Andes)
- E) Continental rift volcanoes (East Africa)

Effusive eruptions

Lava fountains and lava flows at Hawaii





Explosive eruptions

~ 100.000 tons of magma per second!

Mount St. Helens (WA), 1980

~ 30 km!

Piñatubo (Philippines), 1991

Before!

Geological History

Biological History APE CAVE National Volcanic Monument GEOLOGIC SITE

Mount St. Helens, WA (USA), 18 maggio 1980



...during...

Fallout from Plinian-type volcanic eruptions



Volume of products from historical eruptions



Comparison between energies from volcanic eruptions, other natural events, and that of the Hiroshima atomic bomb

| Event | Energy (Tons TNT) | |
|---|---|--------------|
| | Minimum | Maximum |
| Landslide (Stromboli 2002) | 100 | 1000 |
| Tornado | 1000 | 10000 |
| Hiroshima bomb | 10000 | 100000 |
| Eruption of Mt. St Helens, 1980, or of Vesuvio, 1631 | One thousand times larger than the Hiroshima bomb | |
| Campanian Ignimbrite eruption, 36 ka BP | One million times larger than the Hiroshima bomb | |
| Impact with asteroid (recurrence 100000 years) | 10 billions | 100 billions |

Naples and Vesuvius (last eruption in 1944)



The active caldera of Campi Flegrei, on the other side of Naples (last eruption in AD 1538)



Popocatepetl volcano and Mexico City



- Short-period and broadband seismic networks
- GPS networks
- Infrasonic networks
- Clinometric networks
- Borehole strainmeters
- Hydrometry
- Tidal gauges
- Gravimetric strations

- Visible light / IR cameras
- Meteorological stations
- Multiparametric geochemical stations (P-T-X, fluxes)
- SAR interferometry, satellite imagery

•

Subset of observations at:

Mount Etna, 2009 - 2011

Campi Flegrei, 1980 - 2008







Physico-mathematical modeling

During last decades the volcanological research has taken advantage of the developments in this technique

The **modelling** studies complement many others, like **stratigraphic** reconstructions from the volcanic deposits, **monitoring** of volcanic activity, **laboratory** investigations, etc.

What is a physicomathematical model?

It is a virtual representation, in mathematical form, of the dynamics of a system, through the solution of the fundamental equations of physics.

A well-known example is that of meteorological models for weather forecasts

Fundamental equations

1. Mass conservation

In a closed system, the total mass is constant

 $[M_T]_{closed} = constant$

2. Momentum balance (second Newton's law, or law of motion)

The change in momentum (mass time velocity) of a body is equal to the sum of the external forces acting on the body

$$\sum F_e = Ma$$

3. Energy balance (first principle of thermodynamics)

The change in energy of a closed system is equal to the heat received minus the work done

$$\Delta E = Q - W$$



Equations used to model the dynamics of volcanic plumes and pyroclastic flows

$$\begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Mass conservation}\\ \mbox{Gas phase:} & \begin{subarray}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varepsilon_g \rho_g + \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_g \rho_g \mathbf{v}_g) = 0 \\ \mbox{Solid phase:} & \begin{subarray}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varepsilon_k \rho_k + \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_k \rho_k \mathbf{v}_k) = 0 \\ \end{subarray} k = 1,2,...N \\ \end{subarray} \varepsilon_g + \sum_{k=1}^N \varepsilon_k = 1 \\ \end{subarray} \\ \begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Momentum balance} \\ \mbox{Gas phase:} \\ \end{subarray} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varepsilon_g \rho_g \mathbf{v}_g + \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_g \rho_g \mathbf{v}_g \mathbf{v}_g) = -\varepsilon_g \nabla P_g + \nabla \mathbf{T}_g + \varepsilon_g \rho_g \mathbf{g} + \sum_{k=1}^N D_{g,k} (\mathbf{v}_k - \mathbf{v}_g) \\ \mbox{Solid phase:} \\ \end{subarray} \\ \end{subarray} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varepsilon_k \rho_k \mathbf{v}_k + \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_k \rho_k \mathbf{v}_k \mathbf{v}_k) = -\varepsilon_k \nabla P_g + \nabla \mathbf{T}_k + \varepsilon_k \rho_k \mathbf{g} - D_{g,k} (\mathbf{v}_k - \mathbf{v}_g) + \sum_{k=1}^N D_{k,j} (\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_k) \\ \mbox{Energy balance} \\ \mbox{Gas phase:} \\ \end{subarray} \\ \end{subarray} \\ \end{subarray} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varepsilon_g \rho_g h_g + \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_g \rho_s h_g \mathbf{v}_g) = \varepsilon_g \left(\frac{\partial P_g}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_g \cdot \nabla P_g \right) + \nabla \cdot (k_{ge} \varepsilon_g \nabla T_g) + \sum_{k=1}^N Q_k (T_k - T_g) \\ \mbox{Solid phase:} \\ \end{subarray} \\ \end{subarray} \\ \end{subarray} \\ \end{subarray} \\ \end{subarray} \\ \mbox{Solid phase:} \\ \end{subarray} \\ \end{subarray}$$



USES:

1. Investigate the physics of volcanic processes

2. Foresee volcanic scenarios, forecast volcanic hazards

PROBLEMS

• The transport equations tell us how the relevant physical quantities change at any point in space and any instant of time.

PROBLEM!! Exact solutions to the transport equations are NOT KNOWN.

SOLUTION: we look for approximate solutions (that's what computer simulations do)

PROBLEM!! Computers have a finite memory.

SOLUTION: the solutions are found at only some specified points in space, and only at specified times.

We discretize the space through a grid



Keeping in mind the effects of the approximations we introduce, is crucial in order to correctly understand and use numerical results

Quantities computed at discrete points on a grid represent a spatial average of that quantity around each point.



SOME EXAMPLES

1. Ash dispersal

Volcanic tephra fallout



Volcanic tephra fallout, Etna 2001





Even relatively small, effusive eruptions giving rise to lava flows can discharge significant ash into the atmosphere





One eruption at Etna, seen from the satellite

Volcanic plume and tephra fallout, Merapi, Indonesia



Volcanic columns


Volcanic columns



The eruption of Eyjafjallajökull, Iceland, in 2010



The ash plume from Eyjafjallajökull on Northern Europe, March 2010



The air traffic was shut down for days, with an economic loss of **one billion** of euros per day

Volume of products from historical eruptions



Ash fallout from Plinian-type volcanic eruptions

Piňatubo volcano, Philippines, 1991

Effects of volcanic ashes



Effects of volcanic ashes



Collapse of structures under the load of volcanic ash



Removal of volcanic ash from roofs



Removal of volcanic ash from roofs



Ingestion of volcanic ash may kill animals



Physical model of plume rise and ash dispersal



Wind field at different heights

400 m agl

2200 m agl



X(m)

X(m)



Satellite image



Numerical simulation



Satellite image



Numerical simulation

Ground deposit in kg/m²



SOME EXAMPLES

2. Pyroclastic flows

Soufriere Hills volcano, Montserrat island, Antilles (2001)



Soufriere Hills volcano, Montserrat island, Antilles (2001)



Pyroclastic flows



Pyroclastic flows



Impact of pyroclastic flows on urban areas (Montserrat island)



The city of St. Pierre, Martinica, completely destroyed by pyroclastic flows during the eruption 1902 of Mount Pelee (28.000 casualties)



The Roman city of Pompei, destroyed by pyroclastic flows during the A.D. 79 eruption of Vesuvius



2D numerical simulation of pyroclastic flows

Concentration of particles

00:02

Northern Slope

30 micron

VSG, 2002

Distribution of temperature (colors) and velocity (arrows) from numerical simulations of pyroclastic flows



Observation...



La Soufriere volcano, Montserrat (Antilles), 2001



3D numerical simulation of pyroclastic flows at Vesuvius

Particle concentration

Temperature



SOME EXAMPLES

3. Underground magma dynamics

Repeatedly, magma stored in a shallow (a few km) reservoir below the Earth's surface is reached by magma of deeper origin.





Typically, the deeper magma is rich in gas components In many cases, that process triggers a new eruption









What I have presented so far is a **DETERMINISTIC APPROACH** to the investigation of volcanic processes

There are however limitations in the extensive use of such an approach for volcanic hazard purposes:

- We have a limited knowledge of the physics, and most importantly, of the conditions that will characterize the next eruption: EPISTEMIC UNCERTAINTY
- The volcanic processes are highly non-linear, meaning that even small fluctuations somewhere may have large consequences on the overall processes: **ALEATORIC UNCERTAINTY**

WE MUST TAKE THESE UNCERTAINTIES INTO ACCOUNT, AND RELY ON A **PROBABILISTIC APPROACH** IN ORDER TO FORECAST VOLCANIC HAZARDS → **VOLCANIC HAZARD IS A PROBABILISTIC CONCEPT**

So, what's the usefulness of deterministic numerical modelling?

- 1. We want to know how Nature works \rightarrow **BASIC SCIENCE**
- We can relate observed quantities (e.g., seismic, deformation signals) to processes occurring outside direct observation (e.g., in magma chambers) → VOLCANO MONITORING
- We can obtain pictures of how hazardous quantities (T, P, ash concentration, etc.) can be distributed, in time and space, for selected (more likely, or more expected) sets of conditions characterizing a next eruption → VOLCANIC SCENARIOS
- We can run the models several times by varying the conditions according to their estimated probabilities, and obtain (probabilistic) HAZARD MAPS (limited by computational times)



| ng 95.03 | Probability of eruption size | |
|-------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| .2.00 | Eruption size | Conditioned probability in % |
| | Effusive | 11.9 |
| E-03 | Small | 59.6 |
| | Medium | 23.8 |
| | Large | 4.0 |
| | Very large | 0.7 |
| | | |

Probability of wind velocity and direction at each different height

One example from Campi Flegrei, Italy





Probability of overcoming a threshold of 300 kg/m² in ash load on the ground.

Left: including vents opening in the sea

Right: exclusing vents opening in the sea
MUCHAS GRACIAS

y SALUDOS DE ITALIA

Mount Etna, Sicily, with the city of Catania on the background